

Exam Inleiding Topologie, 30/1-2017, 13:30 - 16:30

Solution 1.

- (a) Let $a < b, a' < b'$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be real numbers such that $x \in [a, b) \cap [a', b')$. Then $a'' := \max(a, a') \leq x$ and $b'' := \min(b, b') > x$. It follows that $x \in [a'', b'') \subset [a, b) \cap [a', b')$. This establishes the assertion.
- (b) It is straightforward to see that T is a bijection with inverse $T^{-1} : y \mapsto p^{-1}y - q/p$. Thus we see that the pre-image of an interval of the form $[a, b)$ equals

$$T^{-1}([a, b)) = [a', b'),$$

with $a' = a/p - q/p$ and $b' = b/p - q/p$. Thus, $T^{-1}([a, b)) \in \mathcal{T}_l$. Since the sets $[a, b)$ form a basis of \mathcal{T}_l we see that T is continuous. Since T^{-1} is of similar type, we see that T^{-1} is continuous as well. Hence, T is a homeomorphism.

- (c) We first observe that

$$(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [\frac{1}{n}, 1).$$

Thus, $(0, 1)$ is a union of sets from \mathcal{T}_l . By applying item (b), we find that every set of the form $(q, q + p)$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 0$ belongs to \mathcal{T}_l . Since the sets $(q, q + p)$ form a basis of the topology for $\mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}}$, the inclusion follows.

- (d) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y$. Since $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}})$ is (metrizable hence) Hausdorff, there exist $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}}$ such that $U \ni x, V \ni y$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. By (c) we have $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_l$. Hence, $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$ is Hausdorff.
- (e) The identity map $I : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}})$ and maps S to S . Thus, if S is compact in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$ then its image S under I is compact in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}})$.

Alternative solution: Assume that S is compact with respect to \mathcal{T}_l . Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of S with sets from $\mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}}$. By the previous item, each set U_i belongs to \mathcal{T}_l , so that $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of S relative to \mathcal{T}_l . Since S is compact relative to \mathcal{T}_l the cover contains a finite subcover. Hence, S is compact relative to $\mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}}$.

- (f) We observe that $[a, \infty) = \bigcup_{n > 1} [a, n)$ belongs to \mathcal{T}_l hence its complement $(-\infty, a)$ is closed in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$ and it follows that $S \cap (-\infty, a)$ is closed in S , relative to (the restriction of) \mathcal{T}_l . Since S is compact for \mathcal{T}_l , it follows that $S \cap (-\infty, a)$ is compact for \mathcal{T}_l .
- (g) The set $[0, 1) = [0, 1] \cap (-\infty, 1)$ is closed in $[0, 1]$, relative to the topology induced by \mathcal{T}_l , by item (f). If $[0, 1]$ were compact for \mathcal{T}_l , then $[0, 1) = [0, 1] \cap (-\infty, 1)$ would be compact for \mathcal{T}_l by hence also for $\mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}}$, by (e). This is a contradiction, since all compact subsets of $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}})$ are closed in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}})$. It follows that $[0, 1)$ is not compact for \mathcal{T}_l .

- (h) Assume $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$ were locally compact. Then there would be a compact neighborhood N of 0 relative to \mathcal{T}_l . Now N would contain a set of the form $[0, 2\delta] \in \mathcal{T}_l$, for $\delta > 0$. Hence $N \supset [0, \delta]$. The set $[0, \delta]$ is closed in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{eucl}})$ hence in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$, by (c). It follows that $[0, \delta]$ is closed in N relative to the restriction of \mathcal{T}_l , hence compact. This contradicts the conclusion of the previous item, in view of (b).

Solution 2.

- (a) By definition, Y is the collection of sets Γx , for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$ is given by $\pi(x) = \Gamma x$. Now $\Gamma \cdot 0 = \{0\}$, $\Gamma \cdot (-1) = (-\infty, 0)$ and $\Gamma \cdot 1 = (0, \infty)$. The unit of these sets is \mathbb{R} . Thus, we see that \mathbb{R} splits into 3 Γ -orbits, namely the ones containing $-1, 0, 1$. These orbits are precisely the points a, b and c in Y .
- (b) A set $S \subset Y$ is open for the quotient topology if and only if $\pi^{-1}(S)$ is open. Now $\pi(S)$ is the union of the fibers $\pi^{-1}(y)$, for $y \in Y$. The fibers are: $\pi^{-1}(a) = \Gamma \cdot (-1) = (-\infty, 0)$, $\pi^{-1}(b) = \Gamma \cdot 0 = \{0\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(c) = \Gamma \cdot 1 = (0, \infty)$. From this we see that

$$\mathcal{T}_Y \supset \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}.$$

If $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ contains b , then $\pi^{-1}(U)$ must contain 0. For it to be a union of the fibers and open in \mathbb{R} , it needs to contain \mathbb{R} . Hence, $U = Y$. It follows that the inclusion \supset is an equality.

- (c) The space Y is not Hausdorff. Indeed, the only set from \mathcal{T}_Y containing b is Y . Thus, every neighborhood of b contains Y and we see that this topology is not Hausdorff.

By definition the map π is continuous. Since \mathbb{R} is connected, and π surjective, it follows that Y is connected.

Alternative approach: One may use the description under (b) as follows. Let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ and assume $Y = U \cup V$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $b \in U$. Then $U = Y$ which forces $V = \emptyset$. Hence, Y is connected for the quotient topology.

Since Y is finite, every open cover of Y is already finite, hence Y is compact.

Solution 3.

- (a) Assume (1). Then without loss of generality we may assume that X_1 is compact. Since X^+ is Hausdorff, X_1 is closed in X^+ . Thus, $X^+ \setminus X_1$ is open in X^+ and contains X_2 hence is non-empty. Also, X_1 is open in X^+ and non-empty. We find that X^+ is the disjoint union of two open non-empty subsets X_1 and $X^+ \setminus X_1$, hence not-connected.
- (b) It follows from the assumption that $U \cap X_j$ is both open and closed in X_j . As U is the union of these intersections, one of them is non-empty. Without loss of generality we may assume that $U \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$. Now X_1 is the disjoint union of the open subsets $U \cap X_1$ and $X_1 \setminus (U \cap X_1)$. By connectedness of X_1 , the second set must be empty, hence $U \cap X_1 = X_1$, so that $X_1 \subset U$.

- (c) Assume (2). Then there exist non-empty open sets $U, V \subset X^+$ which are disjoint and such that $U \cup V = X^+$. As U, V are each other's complement, they are closed in X^+ as well. Hence they are also compact.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\infty \in V$ so that $U = X^+ \setminus V$ is a subset of X . Since the topology on X is induced by the topology on X^+ , it follows that U is open, closed and compact in X . By item (b) we may assume that X_1 is contained in U . Since U is compact and X_1 closed in U it follows that X_1 is compact.

- (d) Let $X := (-2, -1) \cup (0, 1)$, equipped with the restriction topology of the Euclidean topology on \mathbb{R} . Since X is the disjoint union of two non-empty open subsets, it is not connected. Thus $X_1 = (-2, -1)$ and $X_2 = (0, 1)$ are as in the above, and non-compact. It follows that X^+ is connected.

Solution 4.

- (a) Since X is a subspace of a Hausdorff space, it is Hausdorff. As X is the union of the two closed and bounded subsets $D \times \{-1\}$ and $D \times \{+1\}$, the set X is closed and bounded in \mathbb{R}^3 , hence compact.
- (b) We note that $\|\varphi(x, \pm 1)\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + (1 - \|x\|^2) = 1$, hence φ maps into the unit sphere. If y is a point of the unit sphere, we may write $y = (x, t)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and then $\|x\|^2 + t^2 = \|y\|^2 = 1$ so that $\|x\|^2 \leq 1$ and $t^2 = (1 - \|x\|^2)$. It follows that $x \in D$ and $t = \pm\sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2}$. Hence $y = \varphi(x, \pm 1)$. This shows that φ is surjective.
- (c) If f and g belong to A , then $(f + g)(x, -1) = f(x, -1) + g(x, -1) = f(x, 1) + g(x, 1) = (fg)(x, 1)$ for all $x \in \partial D$. Hence $f + g \in A$. Similarly one shows that $fg \in A$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in A$ then for $x \in \partial D$ we have $\lambda f(x, -1) = \lambda f(x, -1) = \lambda f(x, 1) = (\lambda f)(x, 1)$ and we see that $\lambda f \in A$. Finally, the constant function 1 belongs to A . It follows that A is a unital subalgebra.
- (d) We will determine the fibers $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ of the map φ . First, let $y = (x, t)$ be a point of the unit sphere with $t \neq 0$. Then it follows from the reasoning in (b) that $(x, \text{sign}(t) \cdot 1)$ is the unique element in the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$. Next, let $y = (x, t)$ be in the unit sphere and assume that $t = 0$. Then it follows that $\|x\| = 1$ and $t = 0$, and we see that $\varphi(x', \eta) = (x, 0)$ if and only if $x' = x$ and $\eta \in \{-1, 1\}$, hence $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ consists of the points $(x, \pm 1)$.

It follows from the above that A is precisely the algebra of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are constant on the fibers of φ . It follows that $\varphi^* : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ is a bijection from $C(S^2)$ onto A . This bijection is an isomorphism of algebras. Thus, the algebras A and $C(S^2)$ are isomorphic and from this we infer that the topological spectrum \mathbf{X}_A is homeomorphic to the topological spectrum of $C(S^2)$. By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem, the latter is homeomorphic to S^2 . Thus, \mathbf{X}_A is homeomorphic to S^2 .