



### Measure and Integration: Solutions Quiz 2012-13

1. Consider the measure space  $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}([0, 1)), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra restricted to  $[0, 1)$  and  $\lambda$  is the restriction of Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1)$ . Define the transformation  $T : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 3x & 0 \leq x < 1/3, \\ 3x - 1, & 1/3 \leq x < 2/3 \\ 3x - 2, & 2/3 \leq x < 1. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))/\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  measurable.  
(b) Determine the image measure  $T(\lambda) = \lambda \circ T^{-1}$ .  
(c) Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1)) : T^{-1}A = A\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Solution(a):** To show  $T$  is  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))/\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  measurable, it is enough to consider inverse images of intervals of the form  $[a, b) \subset [0, 1)$ . Now,

$$T^{-1}([a, b)) = \left[\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3}\right) \cup \left[\frac{a+1}{3}, \frac{b+1}{3}\right) \cup \left[\frac{a+2}{3}, \frac{b+2}{3}\right) \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1)).$$

Thus,  $T$  is measurable.

**Solution(b):** We claim that  $T(\lambda) = \lambda$ . To prove this, we use Theorem 5.7. Notice that  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  is generated by the collection  $\mathcal{G} = \{[a, b) : 0 \leq a \leq b < 1\}$  which is closed under finite intersections. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda)([a, b)) &= \lambda(T^{-1}([a, b))) \\ &= \lambda\left(\left[\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3}\right)\right) + \lambda\left(\left[\frac{a+1}{3}, \frac{b+1}{3}\right)\right) + \lambda\left(\left[\frac{a+2}{3}, \frac{b+2}{3}\right)\right) \\ &= b - a = \lambda([a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the constant sequence  $([0, 1))$  is exhausting, belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\lambda([0, 1)) = T(\lambda([0, 1)) = 1 < \infty$ , we have by Theorem 5.7 that  $T(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

**Solution(c):** We check the three conditions for a collection of sets to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Firstly, the empty set  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  and  $T^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ , hence  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ . Secondly, Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $T^{-1}A = A$ . Now,

$$T^{-1}(X \setminus A) = T^{-1}X \setminus T^{-1}A = X \setminus T^{-1}A = X \setminus A.$$

Thus,  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  and  $T^{-1}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ . This implies  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thirdly, let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  and  $T^{-1}A_n = A_n$  for each  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we have  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ , and

$$T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \bigcup_n T^{-1}A_n = \bigcup_n A_n.$$

Thus,  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

2. Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (In the notation of exercise 4.13, p.29, if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}^* = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ). The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is called the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $n = 1$  and suppose  $M \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a **non**-Lebesgue measurable set (i.e.  $M \notin \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ). Define  $A = \{(x, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in M\}$ , and let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by  $g(x) = (x, x)$ .

- (a) Show that  $A \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  i.e.  $A$  is Lebesgue measurable.  
 (b) Show that  $g$  is a Borel measurable function, i.e.  $g^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .  
 (c) Show that  $A \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , i.e.  $A$  is not Borel measurable.

**Solution(a):** The set  $A$  is a subset of the diagonal line  $L = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  which is the image of the hyperplane  $x = 0$  (the  $y$ -axis) under a rotation by  $45^\circ$  which is a linear transformation. Hence by exercise 6.4 and Theorem 7.9 we have that  $L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\lambda^2(L) = 0$ . Thus,  $A$  is a subset of a Borel set of measure zero, by exercise 4.13(iv) we have  $A \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Solution(b):** This follows from the simple fact that  $g$  is a continuous function and hence  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  measurable (see example 7.3).

**Solution(c):** We give a proof by contradiction. Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , since  $G$  is measurable, then  $g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . However,  $T^{-1}(A) = M$  and  $M \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , leading to a contradiction. Thus,  $A \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

3. Consider the measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure. Let  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} \cdot 1_{[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

- (a) Show that  $f_n$  is measurable, and  $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .  
 (b) Let  $f(x) = x \mathbf{1}_{[0,1)}(x)$ . Show that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable.  
 (c) Prove that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
 (d) Show that  $\int f d\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Solution(a):** Since  $[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $1_{[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)}$  is a measurable function. Thus  $f_n$  is a linear combination of measurable functions (in fact  $f_n$  is a

simple function) and hence measurable. For  $x \notin [0, 1)$ , we have  $f_n(x) = f_{n+1}(x) = 0$ . Suppose  $x \in [0, 1)$ , then there exists a  $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$  such that  $x \in [k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)$ . Since

$$[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n) = [2k/2^{n+1}, (2k+1)/2^{n+1}) \cup [(2k+1)/2^{n+1}, (2k+2)/2^{n+1}),$$

we see that  $f_n(x) = \frac{k}{2^n}$  while  $f_{n+1}(x) \in \{\frac{2k}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{2k+1}{2^{n+1}}\}$  so that  $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$ .

**Solution(b):** We consider inverse images of interval of the form  $[a, \infty)$ . Now,

$$f^{-1}([a, \infty)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & a \leq 0, \\ [a, 1), & 0 < a < 1 \\ \emptyset, & a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In all cases we see that  $f^{-1}([a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable.

**Solution(c):** For  $x \notin [0, 1)$ , we have  $f(x) = f_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n$ . For  $x \in [0, 1)$ , there exists for each  $n$ , an integer  $k_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$  such that  $x \in [k_n/2^n, (k_n+1)/2^n)$ . Thus,

$$|x - \frac{k_n}{2^n}| = |f(x) - f_n(x)| < \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Since  $f_n$  is an increasing sequence, we have

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \sup_n f_n(x).$$

**Solution(d):** We apply Beppo-Levi,

$$\begin{aligned} \int f d\lambda &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\lambda \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} \lambda([k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} k \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2^n - 1)2^n}{4^n} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+(\mathcal{A})$  satisfying  $\int u d\mu < \infty$ . For  $a > 0$  (a real number) set  $B_a = \{x \in X : u(x) > a\}$ .

(a) Show that for any  $a > 0$  one has

$$a\mathbf{1}_{B_a}(x) \leq u(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

(b) Prove that  $\mu(B_a) < \infty$  for all  $a > 0$ .

(c) Assume that  $u(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , i.e.  $u$  is strictly positive. Show that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, i.e. there exists an exhausting sequence  $A_n \nearrow X$  with  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ .

**Solution(a):** Since  $u(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ , and for  $x \in B_a$  one has  $u(x) > a$ , we get

$$a\mathbf{1}_{B_a}(x) \leq u(x)\mathbf{1}_{B_a}(x) \leq u(x).$$

for all  $x \in X$  (note that if  $x \notin B_a$ , then the above inequalities reduce to  $0 \leq u(x)$ ).

**Solution(b):**

$$\mu(B_a) = \int \mathbf{1}_{B_a} d\mu \leq \frac{1}{a} \int u d\mu < \infty.$$

**Solution(c):** Since  $u(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in X : u(x) > \frac{1}{n} \right\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Note that  $(B_{\frac{1}{n}})$  is an increasing sequence and  $\mu(B_{\frac{1}{n}}) < \infty$  (by part (b)). Thus,  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.