

**Measure and Integration: Solutions Hertentamen 2014-15**

- (1) Consider the measure space  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra restricted to  $[0, 1]$  and  $\lambda$  is the restriction of Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Define the transformation  $T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 3x & 0 \leq x < 1/3, \\ 3x - 1, & 1/3 \leq x < 2/3 \\ 3x - 2, & 2/3 \leq x < 1. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])/\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  measurable. (0.5 pts)  
 (b) Determine the image measure  $T(\lambda) = \lambda \circ T^{-1}$ . (0.5 pts)  
 (c) Show that for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$  one has,  $\int f d\lambda = \int f \circ T d\lambda$ . (0.5 pts)  
 (d) Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) : \lambda(T^{-1}A \Delta A) = 0\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. (0.5 pts)

**Solution(a):** To show  $T$  is  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])/\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  measurable, it is enough to consider inverse images of intervals of the form  $[a, b) \subset [0, 1)$ . Now,

$$T^{-1}([a, b)) = \left[\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3}\right) \cup \left[\frac{a+1}{3}, \frac{b+1}{3}\right) \cup \left[\frac{a+2}{3}, \frac{b+2}{3}\right) \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1)).$$

Thus,  $T$  is measurable.

**Solution(b):** We claim that  $T(\lambda) = \lambda$ . To prove this, we use Theorem 5.7. Notice that  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  is generated by the collection  $\mathcal{G} = \{[a, b) : 0 \leq a \leq b < 1\}$  which is closed under finite intersections. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda)([a, b)) &= \lambda(T^{-1}([a, b))) \\ &= \lambda\left(\left[\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3}\right)\right) + \lambda\left(\left[\frac{a+1}{3}, \frac{b+1}{3}\right)\right) + \lambda\left(\left[\frac{a+2}{3}, \frac{b+2}{3}\right)\right) \\ &= b - a = \lambda([a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the constant sequence  $([0, 1))$  is exhausting, belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\lambda([0, 1)) = T(\lambda([0, 1))) = 1 < \infty$ , we have by Theorem 5.7 that  $T(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

**Solution(c):** We use a standard argument. Assume first that  $f = \mathbf{1}_A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ . Note that  $\mathbf{1}_{T^{-1}A} = \mathbf{1}_A \circ T$ , hence by part (b),

$$\int f d\lambda = \int \mathbf{1}_A d\lambda = \lambda(A) = \lambda(T^{-1}A) = \int \mathbf{1}_{T^{-1}A} d\lambda = \int \mathbf{1}_A \circ T d\lambda = \int f \circ T d\lambda.$$

Now, let  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$ , and let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$  be a standard representation of  $f$ . By linearity of the integral and the above, we have

$$\int f d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int \mathbf{1}_{A_i} d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \circ T d\lambda = \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \circ T d\lambda = \int f \circ T d\lambda.$$

Assume now that  $f \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\lambda)$ , then there exists an increasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{E}^+$  such that  $f = \sup_n f_n$ . By Beppo-Levi, we have

$$\int f d\lambda = \sup_n \int f_n d\lambda = \sup_n \int f_n \circ T d\lambda = \int \sup_n f_n \circ T d\lambda = \int f \circ T d\lambda.$$

Finally, consider  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ , then  $f^+, f^- \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\lambda)$ , and

$$\int f d\lambda = \int f^+ d\lambda - \int f^- d\lambda = \int f^+ \circ T d\lambda - \int f^- \circ T d\lambda = \int f \circ T d\lambda.$$

**Solution(d):** We check the three conditions for a collection of sets to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Firstly,  $[0, 1) \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  and  $T^{-1}([0, 1)) = [0, 1)$ . Thus  $\lambda(T^{-1}([0, 1)) \Delta [0, 1)) = \lambda(\emptyset) = 0$  so that  $[0, 1) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Secondly, Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\lambda(T^{-1}A \Delta A) = 0$ . Since  $T$  is a measurable function and  $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$ , then  $(T^{-1}A)^c \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$ . Since  $(T^{-1}A)^c = T^{-1}A^c$ , and  $T^{-1}A \Delta A = T^{-1}A^c \Delta A^c$ , we have  $\lambda(T^{-1}A^c \Delta A^c) = \lambda(T^{-1}A \Delta A) = 0$ , so  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thirdly, let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  and  $\lambda(T^{-1}A_n \Delta A_n) = 0$  for each  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we have  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$ . Note that

$$T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \bigcup_n T^{-1}A_n \text{ and } T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) \Delta \bigcup_n A_n \subseteq \bigcup_n (T^{-1}A_n \Delta A_n).$$

Thus,

$$\lambda\left(T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) \Delta \bigcup_n A_n\right) \leq \sum_n \lambda\left(T^{-1}A_n \Delta A_n\right) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- (2) Consider the measure space  $((0, \infty), \mathcal{B}((0, \infty)), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}((0, \infty))$  is the restriction of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\lambda$  Lebesgue measure restricted to  $(0, \infty)$ . Determine the value of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, n)} \frac{\cos(x^5)}{1 + nx^2} d\lambda(x).$$

(2 pts)

**Proof:** Let  $u_n(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0, n)} \frac{\cos(x^5)}{1 + nx^2}$  and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 1/x^2 & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = 0$  for all  $x > 0$ , and  $|u_n| \leq g$ . Furthermore the function  $g$  is measurable, non-negative and the improper Riemann integrable on  $(0, \infty)$  exists, it follows that it is Lebesgue integrable on  $(0, \infty)$ . By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, n)} \frac{\cos(x^5)}{1 + nx^2} d\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int u_n(x) d\lambda(x) = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) d\lambda(x) = 0.$$

- (3) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space, and  $1 < p, q < \infty$  two conjugate numbers (i.e.  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ). Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a measurable function satisfying

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq C \|f\|_p$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  and for some constant  $C$ .

- (a) For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $E_n = \{x \in X : |g(x)| \leq n\}$  and  $g_n = \mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^{q/p}$ . Show that  $g_n \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . (0.5 pts)  
 (b) Show that  $g \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mu)$ . (1.5 pts)

**Proof(a):**

$$\int |g_n|^p d\mu = \int \mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^q d\mu \leq n^q \mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n \geq 1.$$

Thus,  $g_n \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof(b):** Since  $g_n \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then by hypothesis,

$$\int |g_n g| d\mu \leq C \|g_n\|_p.$$

However,  $|g_n g| = \mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^q = |g_n|^p$ , and  $\|g_n\|_p^p = \|\mathbf{1}_{E_n} g\|_q^q$ . Substituting these in the above inequality, we get

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{E_n} g\|_q^q = \int \mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^q d\mu \leq C \|\mathbf{1}_{E_n} g\|_q^{q/p},$$

implying  $\|\mathbf{1}_{E_n} g\|_q \leq C$ . Since  $(E_n)$  is an increasing sequence of measurable sets with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = X$ , then  $\mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^q \nearrow |g|^q$ . By Beppo-Levi we have  $\|g\|_q \leq C$ , and hence  $g \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mu)$ .

- (4) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and  $(f_j)$  a uniformly integrable sequence of measurable functions. Define  $F_k = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} |f_j|$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

(a) Show that for any  $w \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$ ,

$$\int_{\{F_k > w\}} F_k d\mu \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{|f_j| > w\}} |f_j| d\mu.$$

(0.5 pts)

(b) Show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\mu)$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$

$$\int_X F_k d\mu \leq \int_X w_\epsilon d\mu + k\epsilon.$$

(1 pt)

(c) Show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu = 0.$$

(0.5 pts)

**Proof (a)** Let  $w \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{F_k > w\}} F_k d\mu &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{F_k > w\} \cap \{|f_j| = F_k\}} F_k d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{|f_j| > w\}} |f_j| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof (b)** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By uniform integrability of the sequence  $(f_j)$  there exists  $w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mu)$  such that

$$\int_{\{|f_j| > w_\epsilon\}} |f_j| d\mu < \epsilon$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . By part (a)

$$\int_{\{F_k > w_\epsilon\}} F_k d\mu \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{|f_j| > w_\epsilon\}} |f_j| d\mu \leq k\epsilon.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X F_k d\mu &= \int_{\{F_k > w_\epsilon\}} F_k d\mu + \int_{\{F_k \leq w_\epsilon\}} F_k d\mu \\ &\leq k\epsilon + \int_X w_\epsilon d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof (c)** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , by part (b),

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_X w_\epsilon d\mu + \epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu \leq \epsilon,$$

for any  $\epsilon$ . Since  $F_k \geq 0$ , we see that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu = 0.$$

- (5) Consider the measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\lambda$  Lebesgue measure. Let  $k, g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$  and define  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  by

$$F(x, y) = k(x - y)g(y).$$

(a) Show that  $F$  is measurable. (1 pt)

(b) Show that  $F \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda \times \lambda)$ , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} F(x, y) d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x, y) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x) d\lambda(x) \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\lambda(y) \right).$$

(1 pts)

**Proof(a):** To show measurability of  $F$ , we first extend the domain of  $g$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as follows. Define  $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\bar{g}(x, y) = g \circ \pi_2(x, y) = g(y)$ . It is easy to see that  $\bar{g}$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable. Moreover, the function  $d : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $d(x, y) = x - y$  is continuous hence  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable. Since

$$F(x, y) = k(x - y)g(y) = k \circ d(x, y)\bar{g}(x, y)$$

is the product of two  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable functions, it follows that  $F$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable.

**Proof(b):** Since Lebesgue measure is translation invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int |F(x, y)| d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) &= \int \int |k(x - y)||g(y)| d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int \int |k(x)||g(y)| d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int |k(x)| d\lambda(x) \int |g(y)| d\lambda(y) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's Theorem, this implies that  $F$  is  $\lambda \times \lambda$  integrable, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(x, y) d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x, y) &= \int \int k(x - y)g(y) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int \int k(x)g(y) d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int k(x) d\lambda(x) \int g(y)d\lambda(y). \end{aligned}$$