

---

**Measure and Integration Solutions Quiz Extra, 2016-17**

1. Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  a collection of real valued functions on  $X$  satisfying the following properties:
  - (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  contains the constant functions,
  - (ii) if  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f + g, fg, cf \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
  - (iii) if  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

For  $A \subseteq X$ , denote by  $\mathbf{1}_A$  the indicator function of  $A$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{1}_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A, \\ 0, & x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Show that the collection  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \subseteq X : \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. (2.5 pts.)

**Proof** Since  $\mathbf{1}_X(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\mathbf{1}_X$  is the constant function 1 so by property (i),  $\mathbf{1}_X \in \mathcal{F}$  and hence  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now, let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathbf{1}_A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathbf{1}_{A^c} = 1 - \mathbf{1}_A$ , then by property (ii) we have  $\mathbf{1}_{A^c} \in \mathcal{F}$  so  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Finally, consider a sequence  $(A_n)$  with  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n$ , and by the above  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n^c} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n$ . By property (ii), we have  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1^c} \mathbf{1}_{A_2^c} \cdots \mathbf{1}_{A_n^c} \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence  $\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{m=1}^n A_m} = 1 - \mathbf{1}_{\bigcap_{m=1}^n A_m^c} = 1 - \mathbf{1}_{A_1^c} \mathbf{1}_{A_2^c} \cdots \mathbf{1}_{A_n^c} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{m=1}^n A_m}$ , then by property (iii)  $\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n} \in \mathcal{F}$ , so  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

2. Let  $(X, \mathcal{D}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$  be the completion of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  with respect to the measure  $\mu$  (see exercise 4.13, p.29). We denote by  $\overline{\mu}$  the extension of the measure  $\mu$  to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ . Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a function such that  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mu(f^{-1}(B)) = \mu(B)$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{D}$ . Show that  $f^{-1}(\overline{B}) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$  and  $\overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(\overline{B})) = \overline{\mu}(\overline{B})$  for all  $\overline{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ . (2.5 pts.)

**Proof:** Let  $\overline{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ , then there exist  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $A \subseteq \overline{B} \subseteq B$ ,  $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$  and  $\overline{\mu}(\overline{B}) = \mu(A)$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{D}$  satisfy  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B}) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$  and  $\mu(f^{-1}(B) \setminus f^{-1}(A)) = \mu(f^{-1}(B \setminus A)) = \mu(B \setminus A) = 0$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(\overline{B}) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$  and  $\overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(\overline{B})) = \mu(f^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) = \overline{\mu}(\overline{B})$ .

3. Consider the measure space  $([0, 1] \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  is the restriction of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra to  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\lambda$  is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  be a collection of Borel measurable subsets of  $[0, 1]$  such that every element  $x \in [0, 1]$  belongs to at least  $n$  sets in the collection  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^m$ , where  $n \leq m$ . Show that there exists a  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\lambda(E_j) \geq \frac{n}{m}$ . (2.5 pts.)

**Proof:** By hypothesis, for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{E_j}(x) \geq n$ . Assume for the sake of getting a contradiction that  $\lambda(E_j) < \frac{n}{m}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then,

$$n = \int_{[0,1]} n \, d\lambda \leq \int \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{E_j}(x) \, d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda(E_j) < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{n}{m} = n,$$

a contradiction. Hence, there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\lambda(E_j) \geq \frac{n}{m}$ .

4. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two measures on the measure space  $(E, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Show that if  $f$  is any non-negative measurable function on  $(E, \mathcal{B})$ , then  $\int_E f \, d\mu \leq \int_E f \, d\nu$ . (2.5 pts.)

**Proof** Suppose first that  $f = 1_A$  is the indicator function of some set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \mu(A) \leq \nu(A) = \int_E f \, d\nu.$$

Suppose now that  $f = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$  is a non-negative measurable simple function.

Then,

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mu(A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \nu(A_k) = \int_E f \, d\nu.$$

Finally, let  $f$  be a non-negative measurable function, then there exists a sequence of non-negative measurable simple functions  $f_n$  such that  $f_n \uparrow f$ . By Beppo-Levi,

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n \, d\nu = \int_E f \, d\nu.$$