

Measure and Integration: Solutions Final Exam 2020-21

- (1) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space and $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. Define the measure ν on \mathcal{A} by $\nu(A) = \int_A |u| d\mu$. Prove that for any $v \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$, one has

$$\int v d\nu = \int |u|v d\mu.$$

(1.5 pts)

Proof: We use the standard argument. We assume first that $v = \mathbb{I}_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\int v d\nu = \int \mathbb{I}_A d\nu = \nu(A) = \int |u|\mathbb{I}_A d\mu = \int |u|v d\mu.$$

Assume $v = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i}$ is a simple function in standard form, with $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$. By linearity of the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int v d\nu &= \int \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i} d\nu \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i} \int \mathbb{I}_{A_i} d\nu \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i} \int |u|\mathbb{I}_{A_i} d\mu \\ &= \int |u| \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i} d\mu \\ &= \int |u|v d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $v \geq 0$, then by Theorem 8.8 there exists an increasing sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}^+(\mathcal{A})$ with $v = \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n$. By Beppo-Lévi (applied twice) and the above, we have

$$\int v d\nu = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int f_n d\nu = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int |u|f_n d\mu = \int |u| \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n d\mu = \int |u|v d\mu.$$

Finally, for a general $v \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$, we write $v = v^+ - v^-$ and note that $v^+, v^- \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. By the linearity of the integral and the above verifications for non-negative integrable functions, we have

$$\int v d\nu = \int v^+ d\nu - \int v^- d\nu = \int |u|v^+ d\mu - \int |u|v^- d\mu = \int |u|(v^+ - v^-) d\mu = \int |u|v d\mu.$$

- (2) Consider the measure space $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}((0, 1)), \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{B}((0, 1))$ is the Borel σ -algebra restricted to the interval $(0, 1)$ and λ is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to $(0, 1)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda)$ be **non-negative** and **monotonically increasing**.

(a) Prove that for any $x \in (0, 1)$, $\inf_{n \geq 1} u(x^n) = \inf_{y \in (0, 1)} u(y)$. (0.5 pt)

(b) Let $w_n(x) = x \cdot u(x^n)$, $n \geq 1$. Prove that $w_n \in \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda)$ for all $n \geq 1$, and that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n(x)\|_2 =$

$$\inf_{y \in (0, 1)} u(y) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}. \quad (2 \text{ pts})$$

(c) Prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, 1)} x^n e^{x/n} u(x) d\lambda(x) = 0$. (1 pt)

Proof(a): Note that for any $x \in (0, 1)$, the sequence $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreases to 0, so that

$$(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x^n, x^{n-1}).$$

Since u is monotonically increasing, we have

$$\inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \inf_{y \in [x^n, x^{n-1})} u(y) = \inf_{n \geq 1} u(x^n).$$

Proof(b): The function $x \rightarrow x^n$ is Borel measurable since it is continuous, and since u is Borel measurable it follows that w_n is Borel measurable. Since u is monotonically increasing, then the same holds for u^2 . Now for any $x \in (0, 1)$ we have $x^n < x < 1$, hence $0 \leq w_n^2(x) \leq u^2(x)$ for all x . Since $u^2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$, it follows that $w_n^2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ for all n , i.e. $w_n \in \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda)$ for all n . For any $x \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n^2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^2 \cdot u^2(x^n) = x^2 \cdot \inf_{n \geq 1} u^2(x^n) = x^2 \left(\inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) \right)^2.$$

By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, the fact that the function $f(x) = x^2$ is Riemann-integrable on the interval $[0, 1]$ and Theorem 11.2(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0,1)} w_n^2(x) d\lambda(x) &= \int_{(0,1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n^2(x) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \left(\inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) \right)^2 \int_{(0,1)} x^2 d\lambda(x) \\ &= \left(\inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) \right)^2 \int_{[0,1]} x^2 d\lambda(x) \\ &= \left(\inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) \right)^2 (R) \int_0^1 x^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{(0,1)} w_n^2(x) d\lambda(x) \right)^{1/2} = \inf_{y \in (0,1)} u(y) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}.$$

Proof(c): First note that since $\lambda((0, 1)) = 1$ and $\|u\|_2 < \infty$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\int |u| d\lambda = \int |u \cdot 1| d\lambda \leq \|u\|_2 \|1\|_2 = \|u\|_2 < \infty.$$

Thus $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$. For each $x \in (0, 1)$ and for every $n \geq 1$, we have $0 \leq x^n e^{x/n} u(x) < e u(x)$. Set $v_n(x) = x^n e^{x/n} u(x)$. Since $e u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$, then $v_n \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ for all n . Furthermore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n e^{x/n} u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ (note the $u(x) < \infty$). By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0,1)} x^n e^{x/n} u(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_{(0,1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n e^{x/n} u(x) d\lambda(x) = 0.$$

(3) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space and $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ with $\|u_n\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2p+1}$

for $n \geq 1$. Prove that $\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u_n}{n} \right)^p \right| < \infty$ μ a.e. (2 pts)

Proof: By Corollary 11.6, it is enough to show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u_n}{n}\right)^p \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$, equivalently $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u_n}{n}\right)^p\right| \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. By Corollary 9.9 and the fact that $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|u_n|}{n}\right)^p d\lambda &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{|u_n|^p}{n^p} d\lambda \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2p+1}\right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

implying that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|u_n|}{n}\right)^p \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. Since

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u_n}{n}\right)^p\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|u_n|}{n}\right)^p,$$

it follows that $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u_n}{n}\right)^p\right| \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ and therefore $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u_n}{n}\right)^p\right| < \infty$ μ a.e.

(4) Consider the product space $\left([1, 2] \times [0, \infty), \mathcal{B}([1, 2]) \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)), \lambda \times \lambda\right)$, where λ is Lebesgue measure restricted to the appropriate space. Consider the function $f : [1, 2] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $f(x, t) = e^{-2xt} \mathbb{I}_{(0, \infty)}(t)$.

(a) Prove that $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda \times \lambda)$. (2 pts)

(b) Prove that $\int_{(0, \infty)} (e^{-2t} - e^{-4t}) \frac{1}{t} d\lambda(t) = \ln(2)$. (1 pt)

Proof (a) Since both the functions $(x, t) \rightarrow e^{-2xt}$ and $(x, t) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{(0, \infty)}(t)$ are measurable, it follows that $f \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{B}([1, 2]) \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$. For each fixed $x \in [1, 2]$, the function $t \rightarrow e^{-2xt}$ is positive measurable and the improper Riemann integrable on $[0, \infty)$ exists, so that

$$\int_{[0, \infty)} f(x, t) d\lambda(t) = \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-2xt} d\lambda(t) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-2xt} d\lambda(t) = (R) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2xt} dt = \frac{1}{2x}.$$

The second equality follows from the fact that $\lambda(\{0\}) = 0$. Furthermore, the function $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2x}$ is measurable and Riemann integrable on $[1, 2]$, thus

$$\int_{[1, 2]} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(x, t) d\lambda(t) d\lambda(x) = \int_{[1, 2]} \frac{1}{2x} d\lambda(x) = (R) \int_1^2 \frac{1}{2x} dx = \frac{\ln(2)}{2} < \infty.$$

Thus, by Fubini's Theorem $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda \times \lambda)$ and $\int_{[1, 2] \times [0, \infty)} f d(\lambda \times \lambda) = \frac{\ln(2)}{2}$.

Proof (b) Note that by part (a), we see that

$$\int_{[1, 2] \times [0, \infty)} f(x, t) d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x, t) = \int_{[1, 2] \times (0, \infty)} e^{-2xt} d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x, t) = \frac{\ln(2)}{2}.$$

By Toneli's Theorem (or Fubini) this implies that

$$\int_{[1, 2] \times [0, \infty)} f d(\lambda \times \lambda) = \int_{(0, \infty)} \int_{[1, 2]} e^{-2xt} d\lambda(x) d\lambda(t) = \int_{[1, 2]} \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-2xt} d\lambda(t) d\lambda(x) = \frac{\ln 2}{2}.$$

However,

$$\int_{(0, \infty)} \int_{[1, 2]} e^{-2xt} d\lambda(x) d\lambda(t) = \int_{(0, \infty)} \left((R) \int_{[1, 2]} e^{-2xt} dx \right) d\lambda(t) = \int_{(0, \infty)} (e^{-2t} - e^{-4t}) \frac{1}{2t} d\lambda(t).$$

Therefore, $\int_{(0, \infty)} (e^{-2t} - e^{-4t}) \frac{1}{t} d\lambda(t) = \ln(2)$.